

Lincoln Elem School
Macomb CUSD 185
Macomb, ILLINOIS



ILLINOIS
SCHOOL
REPORT
CARD

GRADES : K 1 2 3

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

Starting in 2009, charter school information is included in district statistics.

STUDENTS

RACIAL/ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND OTHER INFORMATION

	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic	Low- Income Rate	Limited- English- Proficient Rate	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate	Total Enrollment
School	74.8	9.2	1.2	4.2	0.9	9.7	42.6	3.7		0.9	15.4	95.4	575
District	80.4	8.0	1.2	3.9	0.5	5.9	33.5	1.5		1.2	12.0	95.3	1,795
State	53.3	19.1	20.8	4.1	0.2	2.5	42.9	8.0		3.7	13.5	93.7	2,070,125

Low-income students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches.

Limited-English-proficient students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs.

Mobility rate is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year.

Chronic truants are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 18 or more of the last 180 school days.

INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING

PARENTAL CONTACT*		STUDENT-TO-STAFF RATIOS			
	Percent	Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator
School	100.0	--	--	--	--
District	100.0	17.6	17.9	12.7	244.4
State	96.7	18.4	18.0	13.3	201.8

* Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)

Grades	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12
School	23.4	22.0	21.2	24.2						
District	23.4	22.0	21.2	24.2						
State	20.5	20.9	21.3	21.8						

TIME DEVOTED TO TEACHING CORE SUBJECTS (Minutes Per Day)

Grades	Mathematics			Science			English/Language Arts			Social Science		
	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8
School	70			25			155			30		
District	70			25			155			30		
State	59			30			145			30		


TEACHER INFORMATION (Full-Time Equivalents)								
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Male	Female	Total Number
District	97.6	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.8	20.7	79.3	126
State	85.1	8.3	5.0	1.4	0.2	22.9	77.1	133,017

TEACHER INFORMATION (Continued)					
	Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Master's & Above	% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
School	--	--	--	0.0	0.0
District	16.0	55.0	45.0	0.0	0.0
State	12.5	44.1	55.8	0.6	1.2

Some teacher/administrator data are not collected at the school level.


SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES

TEACHER/ADMINISTRATOR SALARIES (Full-Time Equivalents)



Salaries and counts of staff are summed across a district based on the percentage of time that each individual is employed as a teacher or an administrator and may or may not reflect the actual paid salaries for the district.

EXPENDITURE BY FUNCTION 2007-08 (Percentages)



REVENUE BY SOURCE 2007-08				EXPENDITURE BY FUND 2007-08			
	District	District %	State %		District	District %	State %
Local Property Taxes	\$11,476,912	55.1	58.7	Education	\$15,170,963	75.1	71.5
Other Local Funding	\$1,665,740	8.0	6.3	Operations & Maintenance	\$1,346,420	6.7	8.6
General State Aid	\$4,875,272	23.4	18.6	Transportation	\$1,159,627	5.7	3.9
Other State Funding	\$1,703,575	8.2	9.0	Bond and Interest	\$1,608,545	8.0	6.3
Federal Funding	\$1,125,193	5.4	7.4	Rent	\$0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	\$20,846,692			Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	\$558,133	2.8	1.8
				Fire Prevention & Safety	\$345,746	1.7	0.9
				Site & Construction/ Capital Improvement	\$0	0.0	6.8
				TOTAL	\$20,189,434		

OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS				
	2006 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2006 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2007-08 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2007-08 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$105,956	5.81	\$5,578	\$9,953
State	**	**	\$6,103	\$10,417


** Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.
Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.
Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.
Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.
Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE


OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE

These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your school, district, and the state. They represent your school's performance in reading, mathematics, and science.

The 2007-08 school year was the first time that Limited English Proficient (LEP) students took the ISAT or PSAE (with accommodations) instead of the IMAGE test. Therefore, any comparisons with prior year's achievement levels for LEP students should be made with appropriate caution.




OVERALL ILLINOIS STANDARDS ACHIEVEMENT TEST (ISAT) PERFORMANCE



ISAT PERFORMANCE

These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards for the grades and subjects tested on ISAT.

**PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS**

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics, and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3 through 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Reading and Mathematics are tested in grades 3 through 8 and 11. Science is tested in grades 4, 7, and 11.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR READING AND MATHEMATICS														
		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
School	*Enrollment	127	69	58	93	17	1	5	1	10	2	0	25	52
	Reading Mathematics	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0				0.00 0.00			0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0
District	*Enrollment	920	460	460	735	82	8	39	4	52	8	0	166	318
	Reading Mathematics	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0		0.0 0.0		0.0 0.0			0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0
State	*Enrollment	1,073,392	548,452	524,651	574,717	203,366	215,934	43,962	1,676	32,799	72,638	258	152,593	473,876
	Reading Mathematics	0.3 0.3	0.3 0.3	0.2 0.2	0.1 0.1	0.6 0.6	0.3 0.3	0.1 0.1	0.2 0.2	0.3 0.3	0.2 0.2	0.8 0.8	0.4 0.4	0.4 0.4

* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3 - 8 and 11.

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR SCIENCE ONLY														
		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
School	*Enrollment													
	Science													
District	*Enrollment													
	Science													
State	*Enrollment													
	Science													

* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 4, 7, and 11.

ILLINOIS STANDARDS ACHIEVEMENT TEST (ISAT)

The following tables show the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels. These levels were established with the help of Illinois educators who teach the grade levels and learning areas tested. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the four performance levels may not always equal 100.

Level 1 -- Academic Warning - Student work demonstrates limited knowledge and skills in the subject. Because of major gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills ineffectively.

Level 2 -- Below Standards - Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.

Level 3 -- Meets Standards - Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems.

Level 4 -- Exceeds Standards - Student work demonstrates advanced knowledge and skills in the subject. Students creatively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems and evaluate the results.

Grade 3**Grade 3 - All**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
School	3.2	13.5	40.5	42.9	1.6	6.3	33.9	58.3
District	3.2	13.5	40.5	42.9	1.6	6.3	33.9	58.3
State	4.7	23.2	46.2	26.0	3.3	11.4	44.2	41.0

Grade 3 - Gender

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Male	School	2.9	16.2	44.1	36.8	0.0	5.8	33.3	60.9
	District	2.9	16.2	44.1	36.8	0.0	5.8	33.3	60.9
	State	6.0	25.4	45.4	23.2	3.7	11.3	42.4	42.6
Female	School	3.4	10.3	36.2	50.0	3.4	6.9	34.5	55.2
	District	3.4	10.3	36.2	50.0	3.4	6.9	34.5	55.2
	State	3.3	20.8	47.0	28.9	3.0	11.6	46.0	39.4

Grade 3 - Racial/Ethnic Background

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
White	School	2.2	9.7	44.1	44.1	2.2	4.3	30.1	63.4
	District	2.2	9.7	44.1	44.1	2.2	4.3	30.1	63.4
	State	2.2	13.9	47.1	36.8	1.0	5.7	39.4	53.9
Black	School	0.0	35.3	35.3	29.4	0.0	17.6	52.9	29.4
	District	0.0	35.3	35.3	29.4	0.0	17.6	52.9	29.4
	State	8.4	34.7	45.1	11.8	8.6	21.5	49.6	20.3
Hispanic	School								
	District								
	State	7.8	37.3	44.9	10.0	4.7	17.6	53.0	24.8
Asian/Pacific Islander	School								
	District								
	State	1.3	11.0	45.3	42.4	0.9	3.6	29.1	66.4
Native American	School								
	District								
	State	3.8	19.1	50.0	27.1	1.3	10.9	45.8	42.0
Multiracial/Ethnic	School	10.0	20.0	30.0	40.0	0.0	10.0	50.0	40.0
	District	10.0	20.0	30.0	40.0	0.0	10.0	50.0	40.0
	State	3.5	19.3	48.7	28.5	2.3	9.8	45.9	42.0

Grade 3 - Students with Disabilities

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
IEP	School	16.0	40.0	28.0	16.0	8.0	24.0	48.0	20.0
	District	16.0	40.0	28.0	16.0	8.0	24.0	48.0	20.0
	State	17.0	41.2	31.8	10.0	10.4	23.4	44.8	21.4
Non-IEP	School	0.0	6.9	43.6	49.5	0.0	2.0	30.4	67.6
	District	0.0	6.9	43.6	49.5	0.0	2.0	30.4	67.6
	State	2.8	20.5	48.3	28.3	2.3	9.7	44.1	43.9

Grade 3 - Economically Disadvantaged

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Free/Reduced Price Lunch								
School	7.7	26.9	40.4	25.0	3.8	11.5	48.1	36.5
District	7.7	26.9	40.4	25.0	3.8	11.5	48.1	36.5
State	7.8	34.6	45.9	11.8	5.9	18.2	51.6	24.4
Not Eligible								
School	0.0	4.1	40.5	55.4	0.0	2.7	24.0	73.3
District	0.0	4.1	40.5	55.4	0.0	2.7	24.0	73.3
State	1.7	12.4	46.5	39.3	0.9	5.1	37.2	56.8

2009 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) Status Report

Is this school making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	Yes	Has this school been identified for School Improvement according to the AYP specifications of the federal No Child Left Behind Act?	No
Is this school making AYP in Reading?	Yes	2009-10 Federal Improvement Status	
Is this school making AYP in Mathematics?	Yes	2009-10 State Improvement Status	

	Percent Tested on State Tests				Percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards *						Other Indicators			
	Reading		Mathematics		Reading			Mathematics			Attendance Rate		Graduation Rate	
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP
State AYP Minimum Target	95.0		95.0		70.0			70.0			90.0		78.0	
All	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	86.4		Yes	92.7		Yes	95.4	Yes		
White	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	89.4		Yes	92.9		Yes				
Black														
Hispanic														
Asian/Pacific Islander														
Native American														
Multiracial /Ethnic														
LEP														
Students with Disabilities														
Economically Disadvantaged	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes										

Four Conditions Are Required For Making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP):

1. At least 95% tested in reading and mathematics for every student group. If the current year participation rate is less than 95%, this condition may be met if the average of the current and preceding year rates is at least 95%, or if the average of the current and two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% and yet this school makes AYP, it means that the 95% condition was met by averaging.
2. At least 70.0% meeting/exceeding standards in reading and mathematics for every group. For any group with less than 70.0% meeting/exceeding standards, a 95% confidence interval was applied. Subgroups may meet this condition through Safe Harbor provisions. ***
3. For schools not making AYP solely because the IEP group fails to have 70.0% meeting/exceeding standards, 14% may be added to this variable in accordance with the federal 2% flexibility provision.
4. At least 90% attendance rate for non-high schools and at least 78% graduation rate for high schools.

* Includes only students enrolled as of 05/01/2008.

** Safe Harbor Targets of 70.0% or above are not printed.

*** Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe Harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for Safe Harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet state standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. For subgroups that do not meet their Safe Harbor Targets, a 75% confidence interval is applied. Safe Harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.

Lincoln School

Planned Improvement for the School

2008-2009 Lincoln School Improvement Plan

Goal: Lincoln School will improve the academic performance of students so that an overall annual increase in the number of students in the meets and exceed standards categories occurs as measured by the 2009 Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT).

• Action Plans to Implement Goal for 2008 – 2009

- Teachers in K-3 will meet weekly in collaborative teams to analyze and interpret data including AIMSweb Pro Reader, standardized testing and classroom assessments in order to guide instruction.
- Teachers in K-3 will monitor student progress using core assessments aligned to the Illinois Learning Standards and document research based interventions as implemented.
- Teachers in K-3 will use the literacy library to obtain books at the appropriate reading level for all students.
- Teachers and support staff involved in assessment will provide practice ISAT testing at 2nd and 3rd grade levels.

2008 - 2009 ISAT Testing Results

Lincoln School met Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) under the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) legislation.

2009-2010 Lincoln School Improvement Plan

Goal: Lincoln School will improve the academic reading performance of the students in grades K-3, so that an annual overall increase in the number of students in the academic meets and exceeds standards categories occurs, and qualifying identified disaggregate subgroups meet adequate yearly progress as measured by the 2010 Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT).

Action Plans to Implement Goal: (1) Staff will be trained in Response to Intervention and Differentiated Instruction. Professional development will be provided to classroom teachers in the area of core classroom instruction weekly. Title I reading interventionists will be trained in specific researched reading interventions. Classroom teachers will be trained in best practices in gifted education and reading and writing instruction. (2) Classroom teachers in grades K-3 will set up collaboration times with intervention specialists to examine student work, discuss teaching strategies and analyze student progress for students who are not meeting reading benchmarks and are receiving an additional tier of instruction. (3) A Lincoln School Response to Intervention team will be established and meet weekly throughout the year to discuss and plan interventions for students who are struggling to meet academic benchmarks. A progress monitoring system will be put in place to analyze the progress of all students. (4) The school will implement a reading block where *all* students in each classroom not meeting benchmark standards will receive a tier of instruction from the classroom teacher and an additional tier of instruction from a reading specialist daily. (5) Teachers will be trained to use a new diagnostic reading tool to develop more in-depth assessment to plan instruction for students not meeting benchmark standards in reading and to help students achieve success.